

1. Summary Information							
Academic Year	2019-2020	Total PP budget	£57,240 (to date)	Date of most recent PP Review	Feb 2017		
Total number of pupils	311 (+26Nursery)	Number of pupils eligible for PP	40 + 3 Service Children	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Sept 2020		

2. Pupils eligible for PP Pupils not eligible for PP Based on 2019 results at the end of Key Stage 2 (school) [7] (school) [37] (emerging national (emerging national average) average) **51%** (71%) % achieving expected standard or above in reading, writing & maths (RWM Combined) 71% (51%) % achieving expected standard or above in reading **71%** (64%) **83%** (78%) % achieving expected standard or above in writing 86% (68%) **73%** (83%)

86% (67%)

**100%** (67%)

**65%** (83%)

**78%** (83%)

% achieving expected standard or above in grammar, punctuation and spelling

% achieving expected standard or above in maths



3.	Barriers to Future Attainment (for pupils eligible for PP including high ability)
In-Sch	ool Barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)
1	School Context of Deprivation: Our school context of deprivation means that there are a large number of families facing social and economic challenge. Our school deprivation indicator (IDACI) is 0.20 (decile 4). We have an IMD of 4 (score: 22.2). 40% of our pupils live in decile 1-3 with 15% considered to be living in the most deprived areas nationally. Four fifths of our PP cohort live in deciles 1-3.
2	Attaining at a greater depth: Pupil Premium children do not achieve greater depth in the same proportions as Non-PP children across the school
3	Attainment on Entry: Data on entry to Nursery fluctuates each year with varying proportions of pupils entering school with knowledge and skills that are below those expected for their age. Each year, a small proportion of pupils enter Nursery with knowledge and skills which are significantly below what is typical for their age. Each cohort has differing aspects of low on-entry data within the prime areas. We also have a large number of SALT referrals. Reception baseline this year suggests that 80% of the PP eligible cohort are not at the expected standard in reading, writing and speaking.
4	<b>Gender Gap:</b> Although there is a gap in both PP and Non-PP cohorts across school, disadvantaged boys are performing in reading and writing than both girls in their cohort and Non-PP boys.
Extern	al Barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)
5	<b>Attendance</b> : Overall attendance in 2018/2019 for children eligible for FSM was 95.3%. Those not eligible for PP had an attendance for the same period was 96.8%.

15.6% of FSM6 pupil were Persistent Absence (PA) in 2018-2019 compared to 5% of non-PP pupils.



4.	<u>Outcomes</u>	
	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria
A.	Close the gap between pupils eligible for PP and those who aren't, with particular attention on the performance of PP boys  SDP Priority 2• Increase the proportion of pupils achieving the expected standard in KS2 SPAG – particularly boys – and Year 1 phonics check (Standards and Curriculum, FGB)	Pupils eligible for PP in all year groups make as good or better progress so that most pupils eligible for PP meet age related expectations by the end of Key Stage 2 and all have made at least good progress from their starting points. The gap between disadvantaged boys and their peers will be narrowed.
B.	Achievement data of pupils eligible for PP at key benchmark points across the school are as good or better than that of their peers within school and nationally with increased proportions of PP children working at a greater depth standard.  SDP Priority 2• Increase the proportion of pupils achieving the expected standard in KS2 SPAG – particularly boys – and Year 1 phonics check (Standards and Curriculum, FGB)	Pupils eligible for PP across the school make rapid progress so that most pupils eligible for PP meet age related expectations at key benchmarks and all have made at least good progress from their starting points. Proportions of PP children working at greater depth has increased to be more in-line with peers.
C.	Increase attendance for all PP children to ensure that they have the greatest exposure to teaching and learning.  SDP Priority 3 Reduce the proportion of persistently absent pupils (Children, families and Community, FGB)	PP attendance to be in line with school target, and in line with non PP children. The proportions of PP children who are persistently absent will also be in line with non PP children.
D.	Increase the emotional well-being of children eligible for PP through targeted SEMH intervention.	The school should embed a nurture provision. A clear intervention mapping should ensure that children are identified early in the process and that there is a measure of accountability for any intervention that they take part in.



#### 5. Planned expenditure

Academic year 2019-2020

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies

#### i. Quality First Teaching

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
A. Close the gap between pupils eligible for PP and those who aren't, with particular attention on the performance of PP boys	Pupil Premium Champion enables the PP cohort to be closely monitor  Focus on improving teaching and learning processes and methods	The differing cohort sizes of PP eligble children across the school means that effect sizes are increased when cohorts are small. The pupil premium champion would ensure that pupils are tracked closely to ensure that progress is made.  EEF Toolkit – No evidence available	Use of Pupil Premium Profiles for pupil identification.  Regular lesson observations on key focus areas.  Regular focused learning walks and drop-ins by Headteacher.  Regular book scrutinies.  Regular moderation of pupils' work in school and externally.  Termly data input and analysis.  Termly pupil progress meetings with the Headteacher.  Termly pupil progress meetings with the class teacher and all pupils individually.	Mrs Fallon (HT)  Mrs Milner (DHT)  Mrs McGuigan, Miss Rogers, Mrs Hall (Phase Leaders)	Termly pupil progress meetings with Headteacher Regular Book Scrutinies Termly data input and analysis Termly pupil progress meetings with pupils July 2020 final review



_
н.

Achievement data of pupils eligible for PP at key benchmark points across the school are as good or better than that of their peers within school and nationally with increased proportions of PP children working at a greater depth standard.

Reduction of class sizes from January to May in Year 6 from 30 to 22.

Deployment of 3 HLTA's in UKS2

Deployment of 2 Grade 5 TAs in KS1

Reception classes reduced to 22 and 23

In Key Stage 2 reading, writing and maths combined the PP cohort performed better in attainment than their peers and national PP children but not non-PP children nationally.

The successes of the Key Stage 1 results for PP children need to be maintained with the new cohort.

In EYFS the proportions of PP children achieving a GLD has decreased from the previous year.

#### EEF Toolkit - +3 Months

Reducing the number of pupils in a class. As the size of a class or teaching group gets smaller it is suggested that the range of approaches a teacher can employ and the amount of attention each student will achieve will increase.

Regular lesson observations on key focus areas.

Regular focused learning walks and drop-ins by Headteacher, Key Stage Managers and subject leaders.

Regular book scrutinies.

Regular moderation of pupils' work in school and externally.

Termly data input and analysis.

Termly pupil progress meetings with the Headteacher and Pupil Premium Champion

Termly pupil progress meetings with the class teacher and all pupils individually. Mrs Fallon (HT)

Mrs Milner (Pupil Premium Champion and DH)

Leadership Team

All teaching and support staff

Termly pupil progress meetings with Headteacher

Termly data input and analysis

Termly pupil progress meetings with pupils

July 2020 final review



A. Close the gap between pupils eligible for PP and those who aren't, with particular attention on the performance of PP boys	Maintain focused leadership and management time to continue to raise standards across the school.  CPD training of staff to impact on teaching and learning,	Teaching over time is good as evidenced in pupils' books and the school's assessment information.  Regular book scrutinies for English and Maths confirm at least good teaching and learning across the school.  All teachers and teaching assistants have been trained to a high level and implement strategies in a consistent manner. As a result there is a smooth progression in learning for all pupils.	Focused Leadership and Management time for Key Stage Manager to drive standards and impact on teaching and learning across their KS and school.  Regular lesson observations on key focus areas.  Regular focused learning walks and drop-ins by Headteacher, Key Stage Managers and subject leaders.  Regular book scrutinies.  Regular moderation of pupils' work in school and externally.  Termly data input and analysis.  Termly pupil progress meetings with the Headteacher.  Termly pupil progress meetings with the class teacher and all pupils individually.  Targeted CPD linked to individual staff needs and whole school development areas.	Mrs Fallon (HT)  Mrs Milner (DHT)  Leadership Team  All teaching and support staff	Termly monitoring calendar and T&L impact summary  Appraisal midterm reviews (March 2019)  July 2019 final review
	£35,775				



#### ii. Targeted support

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
C. The attendance and persistent absent proportions of PP children is in line with non PP children within school.	Continue to provide  1st day response via admin staff  Support identified families through EHA process  Identify pupils from 2018-19 who were PA by the end of the academic year and make staff aware of PA pupils from previous year  Weekly monitoring in place for identifying pupils who fall into PA  Invite all parents of PA pupils to a meeting at the end of the autumn term and establish an action plan of support	Overall attendance in 2018/2019 for children eligible for FSM was 95.3%. Those not eligible for PP had an attendance for the same period was 96.8%.  15.6% of FSM6 pupil were Persistent Absence (PA) in 2018-2019 compared to 5% of non-PP pupils.  The analysis of the link between overall absence (and individual reasons for absence) and attainment when taking prior attainment and pupil characteristics into account showed that, for each KS2 and KS4 measure, overall absence had a statistically significant negative link to attainment — i.e. every extra day missed was associated with a lower attainment outcome.  DfE, 2015	Focused Leadership and Management time for PP Lead to monitor absence for PP children  EHAs in place for families who need support	Mrs Fallon (HT) PP Champion Leadership Team	Termly pupil progress reviews with Headteacher July 2019 final review



<b>Total budge</b>	ted cost	£2,735

#### iii. Other approaches

Increase the emotional well-being of children eligible for PP through targeted SEMH intervention.  Subsidies for Trips and After School Club Milk Subsidy  Maintain focused leadership and management time to continue to raise standards across the school.  Continue witha free breakfast club for management time to continue to raise standards across the school.  Continue witha free breakfast club for management and significantly improved.  EEF Research – Free breakfast clubs + 2 months progress  The Thrive Approach draws on insights from the fields of neuroscience, attachment theory and child development and to provide a powerful way of working with children and young people that supports optimal social and emotional development. In particular, the Approach equips you to work in a targeted way with children and young people who have struggled with difficult life events to help them re-engage with life and learning.  Continue witha free breakfast club from amanagement time to continue to raise standards across the school has significantly improved.  EEF Research – Free breakfast clubs + 2 months progress  The Thrive Approach draws on insights from the fields of neuroscience, attachment theory and child development and discussions.  Staff views and discussions.  Hard DHT monitoring  Miss Coates (Breakfast Lead)  Miss Coates (Breakfast club for impact on Pp achievement and attendance.  Weekly review of attendance.  Weekly review of attendance data, eg termly, disadvantaged pupils, PA.  Weekly review of attendance data, eg termly, disadvantaged pupils, PA.	Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
	well-being of children eligible for PP through targeted SEMH intervention. Subsidies for Trips and After School Club	Grade 4 TA to deliver THRIVE  Maintain and fully fund our free breakfast club.  Maintain focused leadership and management time to continue to raise standards across the	from the fields of neuroscience, attachment theory and child development and to provide a powerful way of working with children and young people that supports optimal social and emotional development. In particular, the Approach equips you to work in a targeted way with children and young people who have struggled with difficult life events to help them re-engage with life and learning.  Continue witha <u>free</u> breakfast club from 8am every morning for Pupil Premium FS1 to Y6 pupils. We have a growing attendance at breakfast club (on average 20% of the school with 37% of PP cohort attending) and as a result our punctuality across the school has significantly improved.  EEF Research – Free breakfast clubs +2	Staff views and discussions.  Pupil views and discussions.  Parental views and discussions.  HT and DHT monitoring  Monitoring of children accessing breakfast club for impact on PP achievement and attendance.  Weekly review of attendance.  Regular review of attendance data,	Mrs Milner (DHT) Leadership Team  Miss Coates (Breakfast Lead)  Mrs Royle (Business	THRIVE staff July 2018 final review  Regular meetings with Breakfast Club Lead

Overall Total Budgeted cost | £57,240



		Review o	of expenditu	re 2018/2	2019	
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What was the evidence a for this choice	_	How wa	s it reviewed	<u>Evaluation</u>
Achievement data of pupils eligible for PP at key benchmark points across the school are as good or better than that of their peers within school and nationally, with increased proportions of PP children working at a greater depth standard.	Reduction of class sizes from January to May in Year 6 from 30 to 22.  Deployment of 3 HLTA's in UKS2  Deployment of 2 Grade 5 TAs in KS1  Reception classes reduced to 20 and 19	The successes of the Keresults for PP children normaintained with the new  In Key Stage 1 disadvan children attained higher to national avergage in read and maths. However the gap between them and the succession of the successio	eed to be cohort.  Itaged than the ding, writing ere is still a heir peers.  of achieveing a nent was ze of 2.  upils in a class. Iching group I that the cher can fattention	Regular foo walks and of Headteache Managers a leaders.  Regular book Regular mod pupils' wor externally.  Termly data analysis.  Termly pup meetings with Headteache Premium Common com	cused learning drop-ins by er, Key Stage and subject ok scrutinies.  Oderation of k in school and a input and oil progress with the er and Pupil hampion oil progress with the class d all pupils	The gap between pupils eligible for PP and those who aren't is closing and in some cases PP children are outperforming non-PP children. At all phases school's PP cohorts outperform national PP cohorts or are in-line.  There have been increased proportions of children achieved at a greater depth standard in all areas apart from KS2 reading which roughly in-line with last year.



KC3	VC1	Dharica	TVEC
KS2	KS1	Phonics	EYFS
In reading, writing and maths combined	The performance of PP children has	There has been a slight rise in	The proportions of PP children
the PP cohort performed better in	improved in all areas.	the pass rate for PP children	achieving a GLD has decreased from last
attainment than their peers and national		in the phonic check.	year.
PP children but not non-PP children	<u>KS1</u>		
nationally.	Reading Expected Standard 2016 – 100%	<u>Year 1</u>	<u>EYFS</u>
KS2 PP children	2017 – 80%	2016 – 75%	2016- 25%
Reading Expected Standard (Y6)	2018 – 50%	2017 – 50%	2017 – 100%
2016 – 38%	2019 – 83%	2018 – 50%	2018 – 80%
2017 – 71%	Writing Expected Standard	2019 – 57% (but one child	2019 – 67%
2018 – 86%	2016 – 0%	was absent so if	
2019 – 71%	2017 – 80%	all children	Children in EYFS eligible for PP achieving
Writing Expected Standard	2018 – 50%	were present it	a Good Level of Development was
2016 – 71%	2019 – 83%	would be	below their peers within school but
2017 – 71%	Maths Expected Standard	71%)	above PP children nationally.
2018 – 100%	2016 – 100%	•	above in children nationally.
2019 – 86%	2017 – 80%		
Maths Expected Standard	2018 – 50%	All of the PP cohort who	
2016 – 86%	2019 – 67%	gained expected in reading in	
2017 – 71%	Reading Greater Depth	EYFS passed the phonic	
2018 – 100%	2017 – 40%	check.	
2019 - 100%	2018 – 0%		
	2019 – 50%		
Reading High Score	Writing Greater Depth		
2018 – 33%	2017 – 20%		
2019 – 29%	2018 – 0%		
Writing Greater Depth	2019 – 17%		
2018 – 17%	Maths Greater Depth		
2019 – 29%	2017 – 40%		
Maths High Score	2018 – 0%		
2018 -17%	2019 – 17%		
2019 – 29%	2013 1770		
	67% of PP children achieved the		
In writing and maths the PP cohort	expected standard in reading, writing		
performed better than all other cohorts. In	and maths combined. This was slightly		
reading PP children performed better than	less than their non-PP peers but better		
national PP children but not as well as	than national PP children.		
their peers or national non PP children.	PP children performed better than		
There are increases in greater depth	national non-PP children in all areas.		
proportions in maths and writing.	All of the PP cohort made expected		
_	progress from their EYFS outcomes in		
	reading and writing, with only one child		
	not making expected progress in maths.		



					]
Close the gap between pupils eligible for PP and those who aren't, with particular attention on the performance of PP boys	cohort to be of Focus on impurocesses and Maintain focus management standards acr	m Champion enables the PP closely monitor roving teaching and learning d methods sed leadership and time to continue to raise ross the school. of staff to impact on teaching	The differing cohort sizes of PP eligble children across the school means that effect sizes are increased when cohorts are small. The pupil premium champion would ensure that pupils are tracked closely to ensure that progress is made	Focused Leadership and Management time for Key Stage Manager to drive standards and impact on teaching and learning across their KS and school.  Regular lesson observations on key focus areas.  Regular focused learning walks and drop-ins by Headteacher, Key Stage Managers and subject leaders.  Regular book scrutinies. Regular moderation of pupils' work in school and externally. Termly data input and analysis. Termly pupil progress meetings with the Headteacher.  Targeted CPD linked to individual staff needs and whole school development areas.	Gap between PP and Non-PP Children  The gap between PP children and non-pp children achieving the expected standard has widened in the last year across school with the exception of the gap between PP boys and their peers which has narrowed.
				TOTAL COST	£20,575



C. Intervention mapping for those pupils eligible for PP gives a full understanding of the typical provision and includes social and emotional needs profiling.	Interventions for pupils eligible for PP will be mapped  Social and Emotional needs of PP children will be profiled.  Continue to implement intervention sessions in KS1.  Continue to implement targeted intervention sessions in KS2.  Continue to implement targeted intervention sessions in EYFS.  Fully fund 3 part time Grade 4 teaching assistant to continue to deliver targeted intervention programs across KS2 and Success @ Arithmetic.	Pupil Premium Champion will create a system for intervention mapping and provision for social and emotional needs profiling EEF Toolkit - +4 Months  Interventions and approaches which focus on social and emotional learning (SEL) aim to improve attainment by improving pupils' interaction with others and self-management of emotions, as opposed to focusing directly on academic or cognitive skills and knowledge  Teachers and teaching assistants collaboratively plan systematically and effectively for the progress of different groups of learners across the curriculum through the use of bespoke assessment criteria, individual targets and intervention / catch up sessions.	Focused Leadership and Management time for Deputy Head to drive standards and impact on teaching and learning across the school.  Regular lesson observations on key focus areas.  Regular focused learning walks and drop-ins by Headteacher, Key Stage Managers and Subject Leaders.  Regular book scrutinies.  Intervention tracking and analysis including entry and exit data.  Termly data input and analysis.  Termly pupil progress meetings with the Headteacher.	PP Champion worked with new SENCo to map the interventions for PP children.  82% of PP children are making at least good progress from their previous key stage benchmarks.  Thrive and counselling sessions have been offered to PP children who have been identified as having social and emotional needs.  26% of the PP cohort are accessing support from external agencies.
			TOTAL COST	15,810



Employment of 1 Grade 4 TA to deliver of children eligible for PP through targeted SEMH intervention.  Subsidies for Trips and After School Club Milk Subsidy  Maintain and fully fund our free breakfast club Maintain focused leadership and management time to continue to raise standards across the school.  Employment of 1 Grade 4 TA to deliver of THRIVE  The Thrive Approach draws on insights from the fields of neuroscience, attachment theory and child development and to provide a powerful way with children and young people that supports optimal social and emotional development. In particular, the Approach equips you to work in a targeted way with children and young people who have struggled with difficult life events to help them re-engage with life and learning.  Maintain and fully fund our free breakfast club Maintain focused leadership and management time to continue to raise standards across the school has significantly improved.  Employment of 1 Grade 4 TA to deliver on the fields of neuroscience, attachment theory and child development and to provide a powerful way with children and young people that supports opimal social and emotional development. In particular, the Approach equips you to work in a targeted way with children and young people that supports opimal social and emotional development. In particular, the Approach equips you to work in a targeted way with children and young people that supports opimal social and emotional development. In particular, the Approach equips you to work in a targeted way with children and young people who the support open development. In particular, the Approach equips you to work in a targeted way with children and fully fund from 7.30am every morning for Pupil Fremium FS1 to Y6 pupils. What is the value of the provisions.  HT and DHT monitoring  The attendance gap between PP children and attendance.  Weekly review of attendance.  Weekly review of attendance.  Weekly review of attendance data, get permly, disadvantaged pupils, PA.  Holiday club subsidies £466.50  Holi	1	T			
Subsidies for Trips and After School Club Milk Subsidy  Maintain and fully fund our free breakfast club.  Maintain focused leadership and management time to continue to raise standards across the school.  Maintain focused leadership and management time to continue to raise standards across the school.  Maintain focused leadership and management time to continue to raise standards across the school.  Maintain focused leadership and management time to continue to raise standards across the school.  Maintain focused leadership and management time to continue to raise standards across the school.  Maintain focused leadership and management time to continue to raise standards across the school has significantly improved.  EEF Research – Free breakfast club for impact on PP achievement and attendance.  Weekly review of attendance.  Regular review of attendance data, eg termly, disadvantaged pupils, PA.  After-school clubs subsidies £466.50  Holiday club subsidies £370  Misc resources £493.41	of children eligible for PP through targeted SEMH		insights from the fields of neuroscience, attachment theory and child development and to provide a powerful way of working with children and young people that supports optimal social and emotional development. In particular, the Approach equips you to work in a targeted way with children and young people who have struggled with difficult life events to help them re-engage with	Pupil views and discussions.  Parental views and discussions.	20% of the PP cohort have accessed Thrive sessions.
	After School Club	our free breakfast club.  Maintain focused leadership and management time to continue to raise standards across the	from 7.30am every morning for Pupil Premium FS1 to Y6 pupils. We have a growing attendance at breakfast club (on average 20% of the school with 37% of PP cohort attending) and as a result our punctuality across the school has significantly improved.  EEF Research – Free breakfast	accessing breakfast club for impact on PP achievement and attendance.  Weekly review of attendance.  Regular review of attendance data, eg termly, disadvantaged	children has remained in-line with 2017-2018.  285 breakfast sessions have been accessed by PP children and subsidised fully by school. £855  21 Educational Visits have been subsidised £187.75  After-school clubs subsidies £466.50  Holiday club subsidies £370  Misc resources £493.41

<u>Total cost | £9314.66</u>

**TOTAL SPEND = £45,699.66** 

**TOTAL PUPIL PREMIUM GRANT = £ 45,700** 



#### 6. Additional detail

In this section you can annex or refer to additional information which you have used to support the sections above.

• We will review our strategy for how we use the premium in July 2019 and will report to the full governing body and relevant committees.